1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	BENJAMIN SOMERLOTT,	CASE NO. C16-789 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON MOTION RE: ECONOMIC EXPERTS
12	V.	ECONOMIC EM ENTS
13	MCNEILUS TRUCK AND MANUFACTURING INC,	
14	Defendant.	
15 16	The above entitled Court having received and	d raviawadı
17	The above-entitled Court, having received and reviewed:	
18	1. Defendant's Motion to Exclude Plaintiff's Economic Expert (Dkt. No. 60); Plaintiff's	
	Response to Motion to Strike Neil Bennet	tt (Dkt. No. 67); Defendant's Reply to
19	Plaintiff's Response (Dkt. No. 68); and Pl	laintiff's Surreply/Motion to Strike (Dkt.
20	No.); Plaintiff's Surreply to Reply (Dkt.	No. 72);
21	2. Plaintiff's Motion to Designate Neil Benn	nett (Dkt. No. 62); Plaintiff's Motion to
22	Exclude William Skilling (Dkt. No. 63); I	Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Motions
23		
24		

1	to Designate and Exclude (Dkt. No. 65); Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Response		
2	(Dkt. No. 69);		
3	all attached declarations and exhibits; and all relevant portions of the record, rules as follows:		
4	IT IS ORDERED that Defendant's motion to exclude Plaintiff's economic expert Neil		
5	Bennett as untimely disclosed is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's motion for leave to designate Neil		
6	Bennet as his economic expert is DENIED.		
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's surreply/motion to strike portions of		
8	Defendant's reply (Dkt. No. 68) is DENIED as MOOT. ¹		
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to exclude William Skilling is		
10	DENIED.		
11			
12	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.		
13	Dated: October 12, 2017.		
14	Walshy Helens		
15	Marsha J. Pechman		
16	United States District Judge		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	¹ Defendant's motion to exclude Plaintiff's economic expert is granted solely on the grounds that the expert was not timely disclosed. Other evidence cited and opinions expressed by Defendant in its briefing on the motion did not		
24	form any part of the basis of the Court's ruling, therefore Plaintiff's motion to strike such evidence is moot.		